

Научная статья

УДК 637.344 637.146.2

<https://doi.org/10.37493/2307-910X.2024.3.8>



## Перспективы использования дрожжей для переработки вторичного молочного сырья

Алексей Дмитриевич Лодыгин<sup>1\*</sup>, Тигран Валерьевич Нерсисян<sup>2</sup>,  
Ирина Кирилловна Куликова<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1, 2, 3</sup> Северо-Кавказский федеральный университет, г. Ставрополь, Россия

<sup>1</sup> [allodygin@yandex.ru](mailto:allodygin@yandex.ru); <https://orcid.org/0000-0001-8460-2954>

<sup>2</sup> [tigran\\_nersesyan@mail.ru](mailto:tigran_nersesyan@mail.ru)

<sup>3</sup> [kik-st@yandex.ru](mailto:kik-st@yandex.ru); <https://orcid.org/0009-0008-5543-4400>

\* Автор, ответственный за переписку: Алексей Дмитриевич Лодыгин, [allodygin@yandex.ru](mailto:allodygin@yandex.ru)

**Аннотация.** Обоснована актуальность применения различных видов дрожжей для синтеза коммерческих продуктов. Проанализированы направления переработки вторичного молочного сырья на основе биоконверсии лактозы. Показана возможность применять дрожжи, которые не способны к гидролизу лактозы в целях утилизации вторичного молочного сырья.

**Ключевые слова:** вторичное молочное сырье, молочная сыворотка, дрожжи, *Kluyveromyces marxianus*, напитки, биоэтанол

**Для цитирования:** Лодыгин А. Д., Нерсисян Т. В., Куликова И. К. Перспективы использования дрожжей для переработки вторичного молочного сырья // Современная наука и инновации. 2024. № 3. С. 84-91. <https://doi.org/10.37493/2307-910X.2024.3.8>

Research article

## Prospects for yeast using in secondary dairy raw materials processing

Aleksei D. Lodygin<sup>1\*</sup>, Tigran V. Nersesyan<sup>2</sup>,  
Irina K. Kulikova<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1, 2, 3</sup> North-Caucasus Federal University, Stavropol, Russia

<sup>1</sup> [allodygin@yandex.ru](mailto:allodygin@yandex.ru); <https://orcid.org/0000-0001-8460-2954>

<sup>2</sup> [tigran\\_nersesyan@mail.ru](mailto:tigran_nersesyan@mail.ru)

<sup>3</sup> [kik-st@yandex.ru](mailto:kik-st@yandex.ru); <https://orcid.org/0009-0008-5543-4400>

\* Corresponding author: Aleksei D. Lodygin, [allodygin@yandex.ru](mailto:allodygin@yandex.ru)

**Abstract.** The relevance of different types of yeasts application for synthesis of various types of commercially available products is substantiated. Directions of secondary dairy raw materials processing based on lactose biotransformation is analyzed. The possibility of using yeast, which is not capable of hydrolyzing lactose, for the purpose of recycling secondary milk raw materials has been shown.

**Keywords:** secondary dairy raw materials, whey, yeasts, *Kluyveromyces marxianus*, beverages, bioethanol

**For citation:** Lodygin AD, Nersesyan TV, Kulikova IK. Prospects for yeast using in secondary dairy raw materials processing. Modern Science and Innovations. 2024;(3):84-91. <https://doi.org/10.37493/2307-910X.2024.3.8>

© Лодыгин А. Д., Нерсисян Т. В., Куликова И. К., 2024

**Introduction.** The development of whey processing in Russia, despite numerous studies in this area, is held back by several reasons. Among them are insignificant investments in the dairy industry, lack of funds for the implementation of modern technologies and the purchase of equipment, insufficient consumer awareness of the benefits of whey products and the promotion of a healthy lifestyle, the absence of mass production of multifunctional products based on milk whey, and the liberalism of the environmental service regarding the discharge of whey into wastewater.

Different whey processing technologies should be used depending on its type and daily volume. According to MMF, the annual volume of whey in the world is more than 130 million tons, and the problem of its processing in many countries remains relevant.

There are three main directions of industrial processing of whey: full use of all whey components (fresh and fermented drinks, condensed and dry products, etc.), separate use of raw material components (extraction of fat, proteins, lactose, etc.), obtaining derivative components of whey (hydrolysates of whey proteins, glucose-galactose syrups, lactulose, etc.) [2, 6, 7].

To process whey, thickening, drying, electromembrane (electrodialysis, electroactivation) and baromembrane processes (ultrafiltration, nanofiltration, reverse osmosis) are used [3, 4, 6].

The use of the latter is relevant for the production of whey protein concentrates. Most of the dry matter in cheese whey is lactose (45–50 g/l). In addition, it contains proteins (6–8 g/l), lipids (4–5 g/l) and minerals, primarily calcium, potassium and phosphorus. Among the whey ingredients, whey protein concentrates (WPC) are of greatest interest to global consumers. Their production, however, does not fully solve the problem of recycling secondary dairy raw materials, as it is associated with the production of a significant amount of whey permeate, which is a by-product of the technological process.

Currently, new applications have been found for whey permeate in the food industry, for example as a source of unsweetened sugars [6]. Also, due to the fact that  $\beta$ -galactosidase is synthesized by a fairly large number of microorganisms, cheese whey and whey permeate can also be used as sources of nutrients for fermentation processes [7–10].

**Materials and research methods.** The purpose of this article is to analyze the possibility of enzymatic utilization of various types of secondary dairy raw materials, which can result in the production of various products suitable for sale with added value. One such option may be the production of the so-called single-cell oil (SCO), accumulated by oily yeasts [9, 30, 34].

**Research results and their discussion.** SCO yeast has a fatty acid profile similar to vegetable oils [14], which makes this microbial product attractive for biodiesel production. According to [17], the growing demand for biofuels has increased the cost of vegetable oils, from which 90% of biodiesel is obtained. Thus, microbial oil may represent a promising alternative to solve the ethical issues associated with the “food or fuel” dilemma. On the other hand, SCO may be a suitable additive for animal and human nutrition due to its high content of unsaturated fatty acids [30]. Oleaginous yeasts exhibit the ability to accumulate intracellular lipids up to 70% of dry weight and are considered “easy” for industrial production, fast-growing and land-efficient [12, 25, 26]. However, the cost of microbial oil production is still high, which does not allow the implementation of the bioprocess on a large scale. Kutinas et al. [23] reported that the cost of oil using glucose as a carbon source and oleaginous yeast *Rhodospiridium toruloides* would be US\$5.5/kg at a glucose price of US\$0.4/kg. However, a reduction in this cost could be achieved by using secondary dairy raw materials as a substrate. [33] Furthermore, the use of liquid waste such as whey permeate can save fresh water required for fermentation, reducing the impact on water resources throughout the production cycle [20].

It is also known about the technology of obtaining a beer-like drink based on whey permeate [1]. As is known, when using different types of whey (curd, cheese) for further processing, clarification is necessary in order to remove casein dust and whey proteins. When using permeate in the technological chain, this operation is absent, which reduces the costs of producing a beer-like drink. The beer-like drink based on curd whey permeate differed little in composition from ordinary beer, contained 2-3 times less alcohol (1.5-2.0%); was rich in extractive substances (about 8%), their content was 2 times more than in ordinary beer. This

technology is interesting in that it allows for almost waste-free utilization of curd whey permeate, the processing of which for the purpose of obtaining lactose is difficult due to the large number of impurities.

Bioconversion of secondary milk raw material by *Kluyveromyces marxianus* yeast has been proposed as a method for producing various types of alcohols, such as phenylethyl alcohol [18]. 2-Phenylethanol (2-PE) is an alcohol with rose scent and antimicrobial activity, so it is widely used in food and cosmetic industries as a flavoring agent and preservative. The results showed that the production yield of 2-PE was increased by 60% in continuous cultivation compared with batch fermentation. Along with a remarkable decrease in chemical oxygen demand for whey permeate, the present study reports a complete, efficient and environmentally friendly method for the production of 2-PE with a space-time yield of 57.5 mg/L/h.

Another popular alcohol that has been obtained from the fermentation of secondary dairy raw materials with *Kluyveromyces marxianus* yeast is ethanol. [16] The production of ethanol using *K. marxianus* from various types of whey obtained from the production of organic cheeses was studied. Cultivation was carried out in batch and continuous modes. The results showed that pasteurization before the process is not required, which is a great advantage from an industrial point of view, since pasteurization or sterilization of whey leads to an increase in the financial costs of the process. Batch fermentation of unsterilized whey showed high ethanol yields (0.50 g ethanol/g lactose) at both 30 °C and 40 °C using low pH (4.5) or without pH control. Continuous fermentation of unsterilized whey was carried out using *K. marxianus* yeast immobilized in calcium alginate capsules. High ethanol production (4.5 g/L/h) was achieved at a dilution rate of 0.2/h, and *K. marxianus* was able to maintain high production at low pH in unsterilized whey. *K. marxianus* was able to compete with lactic acid bacteria present in whey and was found to be a very robust microorganism capable of producing ethanol at high temperature and low pH in whey.

The production of bioethanol from secondary dairy raw materials was also demonstrated using immobilized *E. coli* microorganisms expressing *Vitreoscilla* hemoglobin [31].

According to data [29], ethanol can be obtained not only from native samples of secondary dairy raw materials, but also from reconstituted ones. This method of bioconversion has a certain advantage, since it makes it possible to control the yield of a useful product by increasing the dry substances of the nutrient substrate by changing the reconstitution recipe.

Bioconversion of lactose into bioethanol during fermentation of secondary milk raw materials is possible not only with the help of lactose-fermenting yeast. It has been shown that in a combined culture consisting of lactobacilli and *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* yeast, which are not capable of fermenting lactose, the possibility of producing ethyl alcohol is preserved [5]. The mechanism is based on the ability of lactobacilli to hydrolyze lactose to oligosaccharides, which are suitable as a nutrient medium for *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. An interesting fact is that in this environment there is no competition for nutrition, since yeast can feed on both oligosaccharides and the products of lactobacilli. Bioconversion of lactose with the help of homofermentative lactic acid bacteria allows cultivating many types of yeast on milk whey, which themselves are not capable of assimilating lactose, but absorb lactic acid well.

Among the organic substances that can be obtained by processing secondary dairy raw materials, the proposed method for obtaining ethyl acetate seems interesting. Ethyl acetate is a valuable organic solvent and is currently produced from fossil hydrocarbons. An interesting alternative could be microbial synthesis of this ester from carbohydrate-rich waste. Synthesis of ethyl acetate [21] using *Kluyveromyces marxianus* DSM 5422 from lactose-free whey permeate (DWP) was tested in an aerated stirred bioreactor at 40 °C.

In addition to obtaining the sought-after organic substances through the bioconversion of secondary milk raw materials, there is the possibility of obtaining valuable products from the biomass of lactose-fermenting yeasts themselves, cultivated on lactose-rich nutrient media, which can be whey or permeate obtained during its baromembrane treatment. The enzyme  $\beta$ -galactosidase, which allows these types of yeast to ferment secondary milk raw materials, can be isolated from them, as was shown in one of the proposed methods [8].

Another component of yeast biomass that was obtained after culturing *Kluyveromyces marxianus* on secondary dairy raw materials is mannoprotein, which has pronounced emulsifying properties [27]. It was extracted from the cell walls of *Kluyveromyces marxianus* grown on a lactose-based medium by autoclaving the cells in citrate buffer at pH 7. The purified product was evaluated for chemical and physical stability to determine the possibility of its use as a natural emulsifier in processed foods. The yield of purified bioemulsifier from this *K. marxianus* strain was 4–7% of the initial dry cell weight. The purified product at a concentration of 12 g/L formed emulsions stable for 3 months when exposed to a range of pH (3–11) and NaCl concentration (2–50 g/L). The composition of this mannoprotein was 90% carbohydrate (mannan) and 4–6% protein. These values are similar to the mannoprotein extracted from *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* cells, which is a traditional source. Therefore, *K. marxianus* grown in inexpensive lactose-based media such as whey or pure lactose-rich dairy waste could synthesize a bioemulsifier for use in food industry. The emulsifying properties of the bioemulsifier from *K. marxianus* were similar to that of the same product obtained from *S. cerevisiae* biomass reported by Cameron [13] and Torabizadeh et al. [32]. As an emulsifier, the mannoprotein of *K. marxianus* grown in lactose-rich media may have certain advantages over other yeast species. Firstly, the yeast can be grown on whey, a widely available by-product of the dairy industry that contains sufficient lactose. Secondly, the difficulty in removing residual hydrocarbons from alkane-grown yeast bioemulsifiers will hinder their use in some applications, such as food. Since *K. marxianus* is classified as having “generally recognized as safe” (GRAS) status, its mannoprotein bioemulsifier can be expected to be non-toxic. Thirdly, it is stable over a wide pH range from 3 to 11. Finally, it can be used in formulations containing a wide range of NaCl concentrations from 2 to 50 g/L). The new bioemulsifier from *K. marxianus* was successfully isolated and evaluated for its emulsifying properties and potential use in the food industry, where emulsification plays an important role in consistency and texture formation, as well as in phase dispersion.

**Conclusion.** Despite the wide possibilities of processing secondary dairy raw materials, a significant amount of it is still not used to obtain valuable commercial products. It can be assumed that this is due to the high cost of re-equipping enterprises for these purposes, limited access to technology, logistical and economic obstacles.

On the other hand, as the conducted analysis of literary data shows, an interesting alternative to processing can be microbial conversion of secondary milk raw materials, which does not require large financial investments and allows obtaining biotechnological products that are in demand and popular on the market.

## ЛИТЕРАТУРА

1. Арсеньева Т. П., Борздая Е. В., Стрижнева О. Н. Разработка пивоподобного напитка на основе пермеата молочной сыворотки // Научный журнал НИУ ИТМО. Серия «Процессы и аппараты пищевых производств». 2015. № 3. С. 136–141.
2. Гущин А. А. Экологическая безопасность молочного производства и технологии переработки молочной сыворотки // XV Международная научно-практическая конференция «Технические науки – от теории к практике». Новосибирск, 2016. С. 16–20.
3. Донская Г. А., Фриденберг Г. В. Эффективные технологии использования молочной сыворотки // Молочная промышленность. 2009. № 12. С. 38–40.
4. Евдокимов И. А., Храмцов И. А., Нестеренко П. Г. Современное состояние переработки молочной сыворотки // Молочная промышленность. 2008. № 11. С. 36–39.
5. Вуткарева И. И., Болога М. К. Особенности получения этанола из частично депротеинизированной молочной сыворотки // ЭОМ. 2015. № 3. С. 106–113.
6. Золоторева М. С., Володин Д. Н., Князев С. Н. и др. Переработка молочной сыворотки с получением ценных пищевых ингредиентов // Переработка молока. 2015. Т. 187. № 5. С. 28–29.

7. Храпцов А. Г. Феномен молочной сыворотки. СПб.: Профессия, 2011. 804 с.
8. Яхин И. Р., Рытченкова О. В. Исследование роста дрожжей *Kluyveromyces lactis* и *Kluyveromyces marxianus* на отходах молокоперерабатывающих предприятий // Успехи в химии и химической технологии. 2011. Т. 25. № 10. С. 33–36.
9. Abeln F., Chuck C. J. The history, state of the art and future prospects for oleaginous yeast research // Microb. Cell Fact. 2021. Vol. 20. Art. No. 221.
10. Amoah J., Kahar P., Ogino C., Kondo A. Bioenergy and Biorefinery: Feedstock, Biotechnological Conversion, and Products.
11. Atabani A. E., Silitonga A. S., Badruddin I. A., Mahlia T. M. I., Masjuki H. H., Mekhilef S. A comprehensive review on biodiesel as an alternative energy resource and its characteristics // Renew. Sust. Energ. Rev. 2012. Vol. 16. P. 2070–2093.
12. Béligon V., Christophe G., Fontanille P., Larroche C. Microbial lipids as potential source to food supplements // Curr. Opin. Food Sci. 2016. Vol. 7. P. 35–42.
13. Cameron D. R., Cooper D. G., Neufeld R. J. The manno- protein of *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* is an effective bioemulsifier // Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 1988. Vol. 54. P. 1420–1425.
14. Caporusso A., Capece A., De Bari I. Oleaginous Yeasts as Cell Factories for the Sustainable Production of Microbial Lipids by the Valorization of Agri-Food wastes // Fermentation 2021. Vol. 7. P. 50.
15. Chen G. Q. et al. Removal of lactic acid from acid whey using electrodialysis // Sep. Purif. Technol. 2016. Vol. 158. P. 230–237.
16. Christensen AD, Kádár Z, Oleskowicz-Popiel P, Thomsen MH. Production of bioethanol from organic whey using *Kluyveromyces marxianus* // J Ind Microbiol Biotechnol. 2011. Vol. 38(2). P. 283–289.
17. Christophe G., Kumar V., Nouaille R., Gaudet G., Fontanille P., Pandey A., Soccol C. R., Larroche C. Recent developments in microbial oils production: A possible alternative to vegetable oils for biodiesel without competition with human food? Brazilian Arch. Biol. Technol. 2012. Vol. 55. P. 29–46.
18. Drężek K., Kozłowska J., Detman A., Mierzejewska J. Development of a Continuous System for 2-Phenylethanol Bioproduction by Yeast on Whey Permeate-Based Medium // Molecules. 2021. Vol. 26. Art. No. 7388.
19. Fernández-Gutiérrez D., Veillette M., Giroir-Fendler A., Ramirez A. A., Fauchaux N., Heitz M. Biovalorization of saccharides derived from industrial wastes such as whey: A review // Rev. Environ. Sci. Bio/Technol. 2017. Vol. 16. P. 147–174.
20. Gerbens-Leenes W., Hoekstra A. Y., van der Meer T. H. The water footprint of bioenergy // Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. 2009. Vol. 106 (25). P. 10219–10223.
21. Hoffmann A., Franz A., Walther T. et al. Utilization of delactosed whey permeate for the synthesis of ethyl acetate with *Kluyveromyces marxianus* // Appl. Microbiol. Biotechnol. 2023. Vol. 107. P. 1635–1648.
22. Koushki M., Jafari M., Azizi M. Comparison of ethanol production from cheese whey permeate by two yeast strains // J. Food Sci. Technol. 2012. Vol. 49. P. 614–619.
23. Koutinas A. A., Chatzifragkou A., Kopsahelis N., Papanikolaou S., Kookos I. K. Design and techno-economic evaluation of microbial oil production as a renewable resource for biodiesel and oleochemical production // Fuel. 2014. Vol. 116. P. 566–577.
24. Lappa I. K., Papadaki A., Kachrimanidou V., Terpou A., Koulougliotis D., Eriotou E., Kopsahelis N. Cheese Whey Processing: Integrated Biorefinery Concepts and Emerging Food Applications // Foods. 2019. Vol. 8. P. 347.
25. Li Q., Du W., Liu D. Perspectives of microbial oils for biodiesel production // Appl. Microbiol. Biotechnol. 2008. Vol. 80. P. 749–756.
26. Liu Z., Moradi H., Shi S., Darvishi F. Yeasts as microbial cell factories for sustainable production of biofuels // Renew. Sustain. Energy Rev. 2021. Vol. 143. Art. No. 110907.

27. Lukondeh T., Ashbolt N. J., Rogers P. L. Evaluation of *Kluyveromyces marxianus* FII 510700 grown on a lactose-based medium as a source of a natural bioemulsifier // *J. Ind. Microbiol. Biotechnol.* 2003;30(12):715–720.
28. Musatti A., Cavicchioli D., Mapelli C., Bertoni D., Hogenboom J. A., Pellegrino L., Rollini M. From Cheese Whey Permeate to Sakacin A: A circular economy approach for the food-grade biotechnological production of an anti-*Listeria* bacteriocin // *Biomolecules*. 2020. Vol. 10. Art. No. 597.
29. Ozmihci S., Kargi F. Comparison of yeast strains for batch ethanol fermentation of cheese-whey powder (CWP) solution // *Lett. Appl. Microbiol.* 2007;44(6):602–606.
30. Ratledge C. Yeasts, molds, algae and bacteria as sources of lipids. In *Technological Advances in Improved and Alternative Sources of Lipids*; Springer: Boston, MA, USA, 1994. P. 235–291.
31. Sar T., Stark C. Effective ethanol production from whey powder through immobilized *E. coli* expressing *Vitreoscilla hemoglobin*. *Bioengineered*. 2017. Vol. 8(2). P. 171–181.
32. Torabizadeh H., Shojaosadati S. A., Tehrani H. A. Preparation and characterisation of bioemulsifier from *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* and its application in food products // *Lebensm Wiss Technol.* 1996. Vol. 29. P. 734–737.
33. Zhang Y., Nielsen J., Liu Z. Yeast based biorefineries for oleochemical production // *Curr. Opin. Biotechnol.* 2021. Vol. 67. P. 26–34.
34. Zotta T., Solieri L., Iacumin L., Picozzi C., Gullo M. Valorization of Cheese Whey Using Microbial Fermentations // *Appl. Microbiol. Biotechnol.* 2020. Vol. 104. P. 2749–2764.

## REFERENCES

1. Arsen'eva TP, Borzdaya EV, Strizhneva ON. Development of pivopodobny drink on the basis of a permeate of whey. *Processes and Food Production Equipment*. 2015;(3):136-141. (In Russ.).
2. Gushchin AA. Environmental safety of dairy production and whey processing technologies. XV International scientific and practical conference "Engineering sciences - from theory to practice". Novosibirsk; 2016;16-20. (In Russ.).
3. Donskaya GA, Fridenberg GV. Effective technologies for using whey. *Dairy industry*. 2009;(12):38-40. (In Russ.).
4. Evdokimov IA, Khramtsov AG, Nesterenko PG. Sovremennoe sostoyanie pererabotki molochnoi syvorotki. *Dairy industry*. 2008;11:36-39. (In Russ.).
5. Vutkareva II, Bologa MK. Features of obtaining ethanol from partially deproteinized whey. *Elektronnaya Obrabotka Materialov (Electronic Processing of Materials)*. 2015;3:106-113. (In Russ.).
6. Zolotoreva MS, Volodin DN, Knyazev SN i dr. Pererabotka molochnoi syvorotki s polucheniem tsennykh pishchevykh ingredientov. *Pererabotka moloka = Milk processing*. 2015;187(5):28-29. (In Russ.).
7. Khramtsov AG. Fenomen molochnoi syvorotki. SPb: Professiya; 2011. 804 p. (In Russ.).
8. Yakhin IR, Rytchenkova OV. Study of the growth of yeasts *Kluyveromyces lactis* and *Kluyveromyces marxianus* on waste from dairy processing plants. *Uspekhi v khimii i khimicheskoi tekhnologii = Advances in Chemistry and Chemical Engineering*. 2011;25(10):33-36. (In Russ.).
9. Abeln F, Chuck CJ. The history, state of the art and future prospects for oleaginous yeast research. *Microb. Cell Fact.* 2021;20:221.
10. Amoah J, Kahar P, Ogino C, Kondo A. Bioenergy and Biorefinery: Feedstock, Biotechnological Conversion, and Products.
11. Atabani AE, Silitonga AS, Badruddin IA, Mahlia TMI, Masjuki HH, Mekhilef S. A comprehensive review on biodiesel as an alternative energy resource and its characteristics. *Renew. Sust. Energ. Rev.* 2012;16:2070-2093.

12. Béliçon V, Christophe G, Fontanille P, Larroche C. Microbial lipids as potential source to food supplements. *Curr. Opin. Food Sci.* 2016;7:35-42.
13. Cameron DR, Cooper DG, Neufeld RJ. The mannoprotein of *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* is an effective bioemulsifier. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 1988;54:1420-1425.
14. Caporusso A, Capece A, De Bari I. Oleaginous Yeasts as Cell Factories for the Sustainable Production of Microbial Lipids by the Valorization of Agri-Food wastes. *Fermentation.* 2021;7:50.
15. Chen GQ et al. Removal of lactic acid from acid whey using electrodialysis. *Sep. Purif. Technol.* 2016;158:230-237.
16. Christensen AD, Kádár Z, Oleskowicz-Popiel P, Thomsen MH. Production of bioethanol from organic whey using *Kluyveromyces marxianus*. *J. Ind. Microbiol. Biotechnol.* 2011;38(2):283-289.
17. Christophe G, Kumar V, Nouaille R, Gaudet G, Fontanille P, Pandey A, Soccol CR, Larroche C. Recent developments in microbial oils production: A possible alternative to vegetable oils for biodiesel without competition with human food? *Brazilian Arch. Biol. Technol.* 2012;55:29-46.
18. Drezek K, Kozłowska J, Detman A, Mierzejewska J. Development of a Continuous System for 2-Phenylethanol Bioproduction by Yeast on Whey Permeate-Based Medium. *Molecules.* 2021;26:7388.
19. Fernandez-Gutierrez D, Veillette M, Giroir-Fendler A, Ramirez AA, Fauchoux N, Heitz M. Biovalorization of saccharides derived from industrial wastes such as whey: A review. *Rev. Environ. Sci. Bio/Technol.* 2017;16:147-174.
20. Gerbens-Leenes W, Hoekstra AY, van der Meer TH. The water footprint of bioenergy. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* 2009;106(25):10219-10223.
21. Hoffmann A, Franz A, Walther T et al. Utilization of delactosed whey permeate for the synthesis of ethyl acetate with *Kluyveromyces marxianus*. *Appl. Microbiol. Biotechnol.* 2023. 107;1635-1648.
22. Koushki M, Jafari M, Azizi M. Comparison of ethanol production from cheese whey permeate by two yeast strains. *J. Food Sci. Technol.* 2012;49:614-619.
23. Koutinas AA, Chatzifragkou A, Kopsahelis N, Papanikolaou S, Kookos IK. Design and techno-economic evaluation of microbial oil production as a renewable resource for biodiesel and oleochemical production. *Fuel.* 2014;116:566-577.
24. Lappa IK, Papadaki A, Kachrimanidou V, Terpou A, Koulougliotis D, Eriotou E, Kopsahelis N. Cheese Whey Processing: Integrated Biorefinery Concepts and Emerging Food Applications. *Foods.* 2019;8:347.
25. Li Q, Du W, Liu D. Perspectives of microbial oils for biodiesel production. *Appl. Microbiol. Biotechnol.* 2008;80:749-756.
26. Liu Z, Moradi H, Shi S, Darvishi F. Yeasts as microbial cell factories for sustainable production of biofuels. *Renew. Sustain. Energy Rev.* 2021;143:110907.
27. Lukondeh T, Ashbolt NJ, Rogers PL. Evaluation of *Kluyveromyces marxianus* FII 510700 grown on a lactose-based medium as a source of a natural bioemulsifier. *J. Ind. Microbiol. Biotechnol.* 2003 Dec;30(12):715-720.
28. Musatti A, Cavicchioli D, Mapelli C, Bertoni D, Hogenboom JA, Pellegrino L, Rollini M. From Cheese Whey Permeate to Sakacin A: A circular economy approach for the food-grade biotechnological production of an anti-*Listeria* bacteriocin. *Biomolecules.* 2020;10:597.
29. Ozmihei S, Kargi F. Comparison of yeast strains for batch ethanol fermentation of cheese-whey powder (CWP) solution. *Lett. Appl. Microbiol.* 2007;44(6):602-606.
30. Ratledge C. Yeasts, molds, algae and bacteria as sources of lipids. In *Technological Advances in Improved and Alternative Sources of Lipids*; Springer: Boston, MA, USA, 1994. P. 235-291.

31. Sar T, Stark BC, Akbas MY. Effective ethanol production from whey powder through immobilized E. coli expressing Vitreoscilla hemoglobin. Bioengineered. 2017;8(2):171-18.
32. Torabizadeh H, Shojaosadati SA, Tehrani HA. Preparation and characterisation of bioemulsifier from Saccharomyces cerevisiae and its application in food products. Lebensm Wiss Technol. 1996;29:734-737.
33. Zhang Y, Nielsen J, Liu Z. Yeast based biorefineries for oleochemical production. Curr. Opin. Biotechnol. 2021;67:26-34.
34. Zotta T, Solieri L, Iacumin L, Picozzi C, Gullo M. Valorization of Cheese Whey Using Microbial Fermentations. Appl. Microbiol. Biotechnol. 2020;104:2749-2764.

### ИНФОРМАЦИЯ ОБ АВТОРАХ

**Алексей Дмитриевич Лодыгин** – доктор технических наук, доцент, заведующий кафедрой прикладной биотехнологии факультета пищевой инженерии и биотехнологий имени академика А.Г. Храмцова, Северо-Кавказский федеральный университет, Scopus ID: 57021535400, <https://orcid.org/0000-0001-8460-2954>, [allodygin@yandex.ru](mailto:allodygin@yandex.ru)

**Тигран Валерьевич Нерсисян** – ассистент кафедры прикладной биотехнологии факультета пищевой инженерии и биотехнологий имени академика А.Г. Храмцова, Северо-Кавказский федеральный университет, [tigran\\_nersesyan@mail.ru](mailto:tigran_nersesyan@mail.ru)

**Ирина Кирилловна Куликова** – кандидат технических наук, доцент кафедры прикладной биотехнологии факультета пищевой инженерии и биотехнологий имени академика А.Г. Храмцова, Северо-Кавказский федеральный университет, <https://orcid.org/0009-0008-5543-4400>, [kik-st@yandex.ru](mailto:kik-st@yandex.ru)

**Вклад авторов:** все авторы внесли равный вклад в подготовку публикации.

**Конфликт интересов:** один из авторов – доктор технических наук, доцент А. Д. Лодыгин является членом редакционной коллегии журнала «Современная наука и инновации». Авторам неизвестно о каком-либо другом потенциальном конфликте интересов, связанном с этой рукописью.

Статья поступила в редакцию: 10.09.2024;  
одобрена после рецензирования: 14.10.2024;  
принята к публикации: 27.10.2024.

### INFORMATION ABOUT THE AUTHORS

**Aleksey D. Lodygin** – Dr. Sc. (Techn.), Associate Professor, Head of Applied Biotechnology Department, Faculty of Food Engineering and Biotechnology named after Academician AG Khramtsov, North-Caucasus Federal University, Scopus ID: 57021535400, <https://orcid.org/0000-0001-8460-2954>, [allodygin@yandex.ru](mailto:allodygin@yandex.ru)

**Tigran V. Nersesyan** – Assistant of the Department of Applied Biotechnology of the Faculty of Food Engineering and Biotechnology named after Academician AG Khramtsov, North-Caucasus Federal University

**Irina K. Kulikova** – PhD, Associate Professor of the Department of Applied Biotechnology of the Faculty of Food Engineering and Biotechnology named after Academician A.G. Khramtsov, North-Caucasus Federal University, <https://orcid.org/0009-0008-5543-4400>, [kik-st@yandex.ru](mailto:kik-st@yandex.ru)

**Contribution of the authors:** the authors contributed equally to this article.

**Conflict of interest:** one of the authors AD Lodygin, Dr. Sci. (Techn.), Associate Professor, is a member of the Editorial Board of the journal "Modern Science and Innovations". The author is unaware of any other potential conflict of interest related to this manuscript.

The article was submitted: 10.09.2024;  
approved after reviewing: 14.10.2024;  
accepted for publication: 10.10.2024.